U.S. Reviews All Cases That Used Bugging

Justice Dept. Seeks To Ban Evidence Illegally Obtained

The Justice Department announced last night that it has begun an extensive review of all court cases - present and past-in which evidence may have been gathered by means of electronic eavesdropping.

The Department made the announcement in a paper filed in the U.S. Supreme Court in the case of Joseph F. Schipani, who had been convicted of income tax invasion.

In the supplemental memorandum it filed with the Court, the Department said:

"Recognizing its obligation not to use evidence obtained in violation of a defendant's protected rights in any criminal presecution, the Department has initiated the program to discover prior instances in which this may have oc curred.

The Department also disclosed that Acting Attorney General Ramsey Clark had sent on Nov. 3 a memorandum to all the U.S. attorneys cautioning them that the Depart ment "must never proceed with any investigation or case which includes evidence illegally obtained or the fruits of that evidence.

A Department spokesman said the current investigation affects all cases that have

See BUG, A16, Col. 1

BUG-From Page Al

U.S. Is Reviewing All Cases in Which **Bugging Was Used**

been brought to trial as well. The Department said that as pending cases.

extensive review is presently ging and examined them in de-being conducted in order to tail. It found that some of the determine the instances in material had provided investiwhich there might have been gatory leads and other informonitoring affecting a case mation used later against which has been brought to Schipani. trial.

this continuing review are be-dence against petitioner which ing sent to the Acting Attorney was tainted, his conviction can-General," itc ontinued,

prosecutions is being consider practice, adopted in July 1965, prosecutions is being considered by the Department for the purpose of determining what other cases might fall within this category."

prohibits electronic surveillance "in all instances except those involving the collection of intelligence with respect to within this category."

As for the case of Schipani, curity." the Justice Department recommended that it be sent back to

ers frequently met because Schipani was convicted on agents believed "that this five counts charging wilful at establishment was being util-tempt to evade income tax for ized for purposes connected 1956 through 1960. He was sen-

after receipt of the Oct. 28 The Department's memo memo it obtained logs that randum to the Court said "an FBI agents kept of the bug-

And the Department added: Reports of the results of "Since there was material evi-

not stand

"Similarly, a careful review of pending and prospective practice adopted in July 1965. The Justice Department matters affecting national se-

Clark's Nov. 3 memo said:

"This Department must nev-U.S. District Court in New er proceed with any investiga-York City "for a new trial, tion or case which includes should the Government seek evidence illegally obtained or to prosecute petitioner anew." the fruits of that evidence. No The Department said it had investigation or case of that been informed by the FBI in character shall go forward una memorandum dated Oct. 28 til such evidence and all of its that although Schipani was fruits have been purged and not the subject of "direct elec- we are in a position to assure tronic surveillance by agents ourselves and the court that of the FBI, he was a partici-there is no taint or unfairness, pant in various conversations We must, also, scrupulously electronically monitored on a avoid any situation in which number of occasions in 1961." an intrusion into a confiden-The FBI had installed a mi-tial relationship would deny a crophone at a place of busi-fair hearing to a defendant or

with organized crime," the De-tenced to three years imprisonment and fined \$12,500.